# THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA	)
	v.	) Criminal No. 01-455-A
ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, a/k/a "Shaqil," a/k/a "Abu Khalid al Sahrawi,"		<ul><li>Hon. Leonie M. Brinkema</li><li>)</li><li>)</li><li>)</li><li>)</li></ul>
	Defendant	)
	SPECIAL VERI	DICT FORM FOR PART II
		Count One
I. STAT	TUTORY AGGRAVATING	FACTORS
Instructions:	Answer the following quest	ions.
A.	commission of the offense,	at the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, in the or in escaping apprehension for the offense, knowingly to one or more persons in addition to the victims of the
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROV	EN
В.		e defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, committed the ous, cruel, or depraved manner in that it involved torture the victim or victims?
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROV	EN

C.	offense after substantial planning and premeditation to cause the death of a p or commit an act of terrorism?	
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROVEN	
<u>Instructions:</u>	If you answered "NO" to questions A, B, and C in this section, then stop your deliberations, cross out Section II and Section III, and proceed to Section V of this Form.	
	If you answered "YES" to question A, or B, or C, then proceed to Section II.	
II. NON-	STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS	
Instructions:	Answer the following questions.	
A.	Do you, the jury, find that on or about February 23, 2001, defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, a French citizen, entered the United States, where he then enjoyed the educational opportunities available in a free society, for the purpose of gaining specialized knowledge in flying an aircraft in order to kill as many American citizens as possible?	
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROVEN	
В.	Do you, the jury, that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, resulted in the deaths of approximately 3,000 people (the largest loss of life resulting from a criminal act in the history of the United States of America)?	
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROVEN	

C.	Do you, the jury, find that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, resulted in serious physical and emotional injuries, including maiming, disfigurement, and permanent disability, to numerous individuals who survived the offense?
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN
D.	Do you, the jury, find that, as demonstrated by the victims' personal characteristics as individual human beings and the impact of their deaths upon their families, friends, and co-workers, the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, caused injury, harm, and loss to the victims, their families, their friends, and their co-workers?
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN
E.	Do you, the jury, find unanimously that the Government has proven beyond a

- E. Do you, the jury, find unanimously that the Government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, were intended to cause, and in fact did cause, tremendous disruption to the function of the City of New York and its economy as evinced by the following:
  - 1. The deaths of 343 members of the New York City Fire Department, including the majority of its upper management, and the loss of approximately 92 pieces of fire-fighting apparatus including fire engines, ladder companies, ambulances and other rescue vehicles;
  - 2. The deaths of 37 Port Authority officers, the deaths of 38 Port Authority civilian employees, the destruction of the headquarters of the Port Authority, and the loss of approximately 114 Port Authority vehicles;
  - 3. The deaths of 23 New York City police officers and the loss of numerous vehicles used by the New York Police Department to fight crime;
  - 4. The deaths of 3 New York state court officers;
  - 5. The death of 1 Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI);

- 6. The death of 1 Master Special Officer of the United States Secret Service, the destruction of the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, the loss of 184 vehicles used by the United States Secret Service, including 7 armored limousines, the loss of all of the weapons stored in the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, the destruction of communication equipment used by the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 7. The destruction of the United States Customs building, which housed all components of the United States Customs Service in New York City, the destruction of the laboratory utilized by the United States Customs Service in its northeast region, the loss of 50 vehicles used by the United States Customs Service to fight crime, the loss of the majority of the weapons stored in the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, the destruction of communication equipment used by the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 8. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), the loss of 15 vehicles used by the ATF to fight crime, the destruction of the regional firearms center used to examine all firearms collected as evidence by the ATF as well as approximately 400 firearms which had been seized as evidence in criminal prosecutions, and the destruction of approximately 100 weapons used by ATF Special Agents to fight crime;
- 9. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Internal Revenue Service, the loss of 7 vehicles used by the Internal Revenue Service to fight crime, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office of the Internal Revenue Service;

- 10. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Office of Inspector General (Office of Investigation) for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the loss of 5 vehicles used by HUD, the destruction of approximately 46 weapons used by HUD to fight crime, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office of HUD, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 11. The destruction of the Office of Emergency Operations Center, which was designed to coordinate the response to large-scale emergencies in the City of New York;
- 12. The disruption of service on train and subway lines, including the E line, subway lines 1 and 9, and the Port Authority Trans-Hudson (PATH) lines;
- 13. The closure of parks, playgrounds, and schools in lower Manhattan;
- 14. The displacement of businesses located in the World Trade Center and the economic harm to each of the businesses;
- 15. The disruption of telephone service in Manhattan;
- 16. The destruction of approximately 12 million square feet of office space;
- 17. Property loss costing several billion dollars;
- 18. The temporary closure of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX);
- 19. The temporary closure of state and federal courthouses in Manhattan; and,
- 20. The delay of the meeting of the United Nations General Assembly and a special meeting of the United Nations called to address UNICEF issues.

 PROVEN	
 NOT PROVEN	

intend	bu, the jury, find that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, were ded to cause, and in fact did cause, tremendous disruption to the function of the gon as evidenced by the following:
1.	The destruction of the Naval Operations Center and the loss of the majority of its staff;
2.	The destruction of the Naval Intelligence Plot and the loss of the majority of its staff;
3.	The destruction of the Army Resource Management Center and the loss of its staff;
4.	The destruction of 400,000 square feet and the damage of over 1 million square feet of office space;
5.	The destruction of a portion of the Pentagon which had just been renovated at the cost of \$250 million; and,
6.	The destruction of computers, other technological equipment, furniture, and safes specifically designed for use by the Pentagon because of its unique role as the center of military operations for the United States of America.
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN

<u>Instructions:</u> Proceed to Section III.

\_\_\_\_\_ PROVEN

NOT PROVEN

# III. MITIGATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions:</u>	For each of the following factors, indicate, in the space provided, the number of jurors who have found the existence of that mitigating factor to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence.
	A finding with respect to a mitigating factor may be made by one or more of the members of the jury, and any member of the jury who finds the existence of a mitigating factor may consider such a factor established in considering whether or not a sentence of death shall be imposed, regardless of the number of other jurors who agree that the factor has been established. Further, any juror may also weigh a mitigating factor found by another juror, even if he or she did not also find that factor to be mitigating.
A.	[list mitigating factor]
	Number of jurors who so find by a preponderance of the evidence
В.	[list mitigating factor]
	Number of jurors who so find by a preponderance of the evidence
or more jurors	following extra space, you may write in any additional mitigating factors found by one is. If there are none, write "NONE" and cross out the extra spaces with a large "X." is needed, write "CONTINUED" and use the reverse side of this page.
<u> </u>	
Numb	er of jurors who so find
<u> </u>	
Numb	er of jurors who so find

	<u>_</u> .		
	Numb	er of jurors who so find	
<u>Instru</u>	actions:	Proceed to Section IV.	
IV.	SENT	ENCING DETERMINA	ATION FOR COUNT ONE:
the ag	eigh any ggravatin	mitigating factor(s) found	hether the aggravating factor(s) found to exist sufficiently to exist, or in the absence of any mitigating factors, whether sufficient to justify a sentence of death, and whether death this case:
	<b>A.</b>	Sentence of Death	
	We, th	ne jury, by unanimous vot	e, determine that a sentence of death shall be imposed.
	Forepo	erson Juror Number	Date
	Forepe	erson Signature	
	В.	Sentence of Life Impri	sonment Without the Possibility of Release
		ne jury, by unanimous vote oility of release shall be in	e, determine that a sentence of life imprisonment without the aposed.
	Forepo	erson Juror Number	Date
	Forepo	erson Signature	
Instru	action:	Proceed to Section V.	

# V. CERTIFICATION

By signing below, each juror certifies that consideration of the race, color, religious beliefs,
national origin, or sex of the defendant or any victim was not involved in reaching his or her
individual decision, and that the individual juror would have made the same finding regardless of
the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant or any victim.

	_		
	-		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
		FOREPERSON	
		I OREI ERSOI	
- ·		• • • •	
DATE:		2006	

# Count Three

# I. STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions:</u>	Answer the following questions.	
A.	Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, in commission of the offense, or in escaping apprehension for the offense, knowin created a grave risk of death to one or more persons in addition to the victims of offense?	
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROVEN	
В.	Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, committed the offense in an especially heinous, cruel, or depraved manner in that it involved torture or serious physical abuse to the victim or victims?	
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROVEN	
C.	Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, committed the offense after substantial planning and premeditation to cause the death of a person or commit an act of terrorism?	
	PROVEN	
	NOT PROVEN	
Instructions:	If you answered "NO" to questions A, B, and C in this section, then stop your deliberations, cross out Section II and Section III, and proceed to Section V of this Form.	
	If you answered "VES" to question A or B or C then proceed to Section II	

# II. NON-STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Instructions: Answer the following questions.	
A.	Do you, the jury, find that on or about February 23, 2001, defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, a French citizen, entered the United States, where he then enjoyed the educational opportunities available in a free society, for the purpose of gaining specialized knowledge in flying an aircraft in order to kill as many American citizens as possible?
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN
В.	Do you, the jury, that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, resulted in the deaths of approximately 3,000 people (the largest loss of life resulting from a criminal act in the history of the United States of America)?
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN
C.	Do you, the jury, find that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, resulted in serious physical and emotional injuries, including maiming, disfigurement, and permanent disability, to numerous individuals who survived the offense?
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN
D.	Do you, the jury, find that, as demonstrated by the victims' personal characteristics as individual human beings and the impact of their deaths upon their families, friends, and co-workers, the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, caused injury, harm, and loss to the victims, their families, their friends, and their co-workers?
	PROVEN
	NOT PROVEN

- E. Do you, the jury, find unanimously that the Government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, were intended to cause, and in fact did cause, tremendous disruption to the function of the City of New York and its economy as evinced by the following:
  - 1. The deaths of 343 members of the New York City Fire Department, including the majority of its upper management, and the loss of approximately 92 pieces of fire-fighting apparatus including fire engines, ladder companies, ambulances and other rescue vehicles;
  - 2. The deaths of 37 Port Authority officers, the deaths of 38 Port Authority civilian employees, the destruction of the headquarters of the Port Authority, and the loss of approximately 114 Port Authority vehicles;
  - 3. The deaths of 23 New York City police officers and the loss of numerous vehicles used by the New York Police Department to fight crime;
  - 4. The deaths of 3 New York state court officers;
  - 5. The death of 1 Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI);
  - 6. The death of 1 Master Special Officer of the United States Secret Service, the destruction of the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, the loss of 184 vehicles used by the United States Secret Service, including 7 armored limousines, the loss of all of the weapons stored in the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, the destruction of communication equipment used by the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;

- 7. The destruction of the United States Customs building, which housed all components of the United States Customs Service in New York City, the destruction of the laboratory utilized by the United States Customs Service in its northeast region, the loss of 50 vehicles used by the United States Customs Service to fight crime, the loss of the majority of the weapons stored in the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, the destruction of communication equipment used by the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 8. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), the loss of 15 vehicles used by the ATF to fight crime, the destruction of the regional firearms center used to examine all firearms collected as evidence by the ATF as well as approximately 400 firearms which had been seized as evidence in criminal prosecutions, and the destruction of approximately 100 weapons used by ATF Special Agents to fight crime;
- 9. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Internal Revenue Service, the loss of 7 vehicles used by the Internal Revenue Service to fight crime, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office of the Internal Revenue Service;
- 10. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Office of Inspector General (Office of Investigation) for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the loss of 5 vehicles used by HUD, the destruction of approximately 46 weapons used by HUD to fight crime, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office of HUD, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 11. The destruction of the Office of Emergency Operations Center, which was designed to coordinate the response to large-scale emergencies in the City of New York;

- 12. The disruption of service on train and subway lines, including the E line, subway lines 1 and 9, and the Port Authority Trans-Hudson (PATH) lines;
- 13. The closure of parks, playgrounds, and schools in lower Manhattan;
- 14. The displacement of businesses located in the World Trade Center and the economic harm to each of the businesses;
- 15. The disruption of telephone service in Manhattan;
- 16. The destruction of approximately 12 million square feet of office space;
- 17. Property loss costing several billion dollars;
- 18. The temporary closure of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX);
- 19. The temporary closure of state and federal courthouses in Manhattan; and,
- 20. The delay of the meeting of the United Nations General Assembly and a special meeting of the United Nations called to address UNICEF issues.

 PROVEN
NOT PROVEN

F. Do you, the jury, find that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, were intended to cause, and in fact did cause, tremendous disruption to the function of the Pentagon as evidenced by the following: The destruction of the Naval Operations Center and the loss 1. of the majority of its staff; 2. The destruction of the Naval Intelligence Plot and the loss of the majority of its staff; 3. The destruction of the Army Resource Management Center and the loss of its staff; 4. The destruction of 400,000 square feet and the damage of over 1 million square feet of office space; 5. The destruction of a portion of the Pentagon which had just been renovated at the cost of \$250 million; and. 6. The destruction of computers, other technological equipment, furniture, and safes specifically designed for use by the Pentagon because of its unique role as the center of military operations for the United States of America. **PROVEN** 

\_\_\_\_\_ NOT PROVEN

G. Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, has demonstrated a lack of remorse for his criminal conduct?

PROVEN

NOT PROVEN

Instructions: Proceed to Section III.

# III. MITIGATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions:</u>	For each of the following factors, indicate, in the space provided, the number of jurors who have found the existence of that mitigating factor to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence.
	A finding with respect to a mitigating factor may be made by one or more of the members of the jury, and any member of the jury who finds the existence of a mitigating factor may consider such a factor established in considering whether or not a sentence of death shall be imposed, regardless of the number of other jurors who agree that the factor has been established. Further, any juror may also weigh a mitigating factor found by another juror, even if he or she did not also find that factor to be mitigating.
A.	[list mitigating factor]
	Number of jurors who so find by a preponderance of the evidence
В.	[list mitigating factor]
	Number of jurors who so find by a preponderance of the evidence
or more jurors	following extra space, you may write in any additional mitigating factors found by one is. If there are none, write "NONE" and cross out the extra spaces with a large "X." is needed, write "CONTINUED" and use the reverse side of this page.
<u> </u>	
Numb	er of jurors who so find
<u> </u>	
Numb	er of jurors who so find

	<u>_</u> .					
	Numb	er of jurors who so find				
<u>Instru</u>	actions:	Proceed to Section IV.				
IV.	SENT	ENCING DETERMINA	ATION FOR COUNT THREE:			
the ag	eigh any ggravatin	mitigating factor(s) found	hether the aggravating factor(s) found to exist sufficiently to exist, or in the absence of any mitigating factors, whether sufficient to justify a sentence of death, and whether death this case:			
	<b>A.</b>	Sentence of Death				
	We, the jury, by unanimous vote, determine that a sentence of death shall be imposed					
	Forepo	erson Juror Number	Date			
	Forepo	Foreperson Signature				
	В.	Sentence of Life Impri	sonment Without the Possibility of Release			
We, the jury, by unanimous vote, determine that a sentence of life possibility of release shall be imposed.						
	Forepo	erson Juror Number	Date			
	Forepo	erson Signature				
Instru	action:	Proceed to Section V.				

#### V. CERTIFICATION

individ	ual decision, and that the	individual ju	aror would have made the	lved in reaching his or her e same finding regardless of
the race	e, color, religious beliefs,	national orig	in, or sex of the defendar	nt or any victim.
		_		

FOREPERSON

By signing below, each juror certifies that consideration of the race, color, religious beliefs,

DATE: , 2006

# Count Four

#### I. STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions:</u>	Answer the following questions.		
A.	Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, in the commission of the offense, or in escaping apprehension for the offense, knowingle created a grave risk of death to one or more persons in addition to the victims of the offense?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		
В.	Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, committed the offense in an especially heinous, cruel, or depraved manner in that it involved torture or serious physical abuse to the victim or victims?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		
C.	Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, committed the offense after substantial planning and premeditation to cause the death of a person or commit an act of terrorism?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		
<u>Instructions:</u>	If you answered "NO" to questions A, B, and C in this section, then stop your deliberations, cross out Section II and Section III, and proceed to Section V of this Form.		
	If you answered "YES" to question A, or B, or C, then proceed to Section II.		

# II. NON-STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions:</u>	E: Answer the following questions.		
A.	Do you, the jury, find that on or about February 23, 2001, defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, a French citizen, entered the United States, where he then enjoyed the educational opportunities available in a free society, for the purpose of gaining specialized knowledge in flying an aircraft in order to kill as many American citizen as possible?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		
В.	Do you, the jury, that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, resulted in the deaths of approximately 3,000 people (the largest loss of life resulting from a criminal act in the history of the United States of America)?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		
C.	Do you, the jury, find that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, resulted in serious physical and emotional injuries, including maiming, disfigurement, and permanent disability, to numerous individuals who survived the offense?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		
D.	Do you, the jury, find that, as demonstrated by the victims' personal characteristics as individual human beings and the impact of their deaths upon their families, friends, and co-workers, the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, caused injury, harm, and loss to the victims, their families, their friends, and their co-workers?		
	PROVEN		
	NOT PROVEN		

- E. Do you, the jury, find unanimously that the Government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, were intended to cause, and in fact did cause, tremendous disruption to the function of the City of New York and its economy as evinced by the following:
  - 1. The deaths of 343 members of the New York City Fire Department, including the majority of its upper management, and the loss of approximately 92 pieces of fire-fighting apparatus including fire engines, ladder companies, ambulances and other rescue vehicles;
  - 2. The deaths of 37 Port Authority officers, the deaths of 38 Port Authority civilian employees, the destruction of the headquarters of the Port Authority, and the loss of approximately 114 Port Authority vehicles;
  - 3. The deaths of 23 New York City police officers and the loss of numerous vehicles used by the New York Police Department to fight crime;
  - 4. The deaths of 3 New York state court officers;
  - 5. The death of 1 Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI);
  - 6. The death of 1 Master Special Officer of the United States Secret Service, the destruction of the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, the loss of 184 vehicles used by the United States Secret Service, including 7 armored limousines, the loss of all of the weapons stored in the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, the destruction of communication equipment used by the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office for the United States Secret Service, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;

- 7. The destruction of the United States Customs building, which housed all components of the United States Customs Service in New York City, the destruction of the laboratory utilized by the United States Customs Service in its northeast region, the loss of 50 vehicles used by the United States Customs Service to fight crime, the loss of the majority of the weapons stored in the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, the destruction of communication equipment used by the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office for the United States Customs Service, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 8. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), the loss of 15 vehicles used by the ATF to fight crime, the destruction of the regional firearms center used to examine all firearms collected as evidence by the ATF as well as approximately 400 firearms which had been seized as evidence in criminal prosecutions, and the destruction of approximately 100 weapons used by ATF Special Agents to fight crime;
- 9. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Internal Revenue Service, the loss of 7 vehicles used by the Internal Revenue Service to fight crime, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office of the Internal Revenue Service;
- 10. The destruction of the offices of the New York field division of the Office of Inspector General (Office of Investigation) for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the loss of 5 vehicles used by HUD, the destruction of approximately 46 weapons used by HUD to fight crime, and the destruction of evidence stored in the New York field office of HUD, which was to be used in criminal prosecutions;
- 11. The destruction of the Office of Emergency Operations Center, which was designed to coordinate the response to large-scale emergencies in the City of New York;

- 12. The disruption of service on train and subway lines, including the E line, subway lines 1 and 9, and the Port Authority Trans-Hudson (PATH) lines;
- 13. The closure of parks, playgrounds, and schools in lower Manhattan;
- 14. The displacement of businesses located in the World Trade Center and the economic harm to each of the businesses;
- 15. The disruption of telephone service in Manhattan;
- 16. The destruction of approximately 12 million square feet of office space;
- 17. Property loss costing several billion dollars;
- 18. The temporary closure of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX);
- 19. The temporary closure of state and federal courthouses in Manhattan; and,
- 20. The delay of the meeting of the United Nations General Assembly and a special meeting of the United Nations called to address UNICEF issues.

 PROVEN	
NOT PROVEN	

F. Do you, the jury, find that the actions of defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, were intended to cause, and in fact did cause, tremendous disruption to the function of the Pentagon as evidenced by the following: The destruction of the Naval Operations Center and the loss 1. of the majority of its staff; 2. The destruction of the Naval Intelligence Plot and the loss of the majority of its staff; 3. The destruction of the Army Resource Management Center and the loss of its staff; 4. The destruction of 400,000 square feet and the damage of over 1 million square feet of office space; 5. The destruction of a portion of the Pentagon which had just been renovated at the cost of \$250 million; and. 6. The destruction of computers, other technological equipment, furniture, and safes specifically designed for use by the Pentagon because of its unique role as the center of military operations for the United States of America. **PROVEN** NOT PROVEN

Do you, the jury, find that the defendant, ZACARIAS MOUSSAOUI, has

demonstrated a lack of remorse for his criminal conduct?

**PROVEN** 

NOT PROVEN

Instructions: Proceed to Section III.

G.

# III. MITIGATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions:</u>	For each of the following factors, indicate, in the space provided, the number of jurors who have found the existence of that mitigating factor to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence.
	A finding with respect to a mitigating factor may be made by one or more of the members of the jury, and any member of the jury who finds the existence of a mitigating factor may consider such a factor established in considering whether or not a sentence of death shall be imposed, regardless of the number of other jurors who agree that the factor has been established. Further, any juror may also weigh a mitigating factor found by another juror, even if he or she did not also find that factor to be mitigating.
A.	[list mitigating factor]
	Number of jurors who so find by a preponderance of the evidence
В.	[list mitigating factor]
	Number of jurors who so find by a preponderance of the evidence
or more juror	following extra space, you may write in any additional mitigating factors found by one s. If there are none, write "NONE" and cross out the extra spaces with a large "X." is needed, write "CONTINUED" and use the reverse side of this page.
<u> </u>	
Numb	per of jurors who so find
Numb	per of jurors who so find

	<u>_</u> .					
	Numb	er of jurors who so find				
<u>Instru</u>	actions:	Proceed to Section IV.				
IV.	SENT	ENCING DETERMINA	ATION FOR COUNT FOUR:			
the ag	eigh any ggravatin	mitigating factor(s) found	hether the aggravating factor(s) found to exist sufficiently to exist, or in the absence of any mitigating factors, whether sufficient to justify a sentence of death, and whether death this case:			
	<b>A.</b>	Sentence of Death				
	We, the jury, by unanimous vote, determine that a sentence of death shall be imposed					
	Forepo	erson Juror Number	Date			
	Forepe	Foreperson Signature				
	В.	Sentence of Life Impri	sonment Without the Possibility of Release			
We, the jury, by unanimous vote, determine that a sentence of possibility of release shall be imposed.						
	Forepo	erson Juror Number	Date			
	Forepo	erson Signature				
Instru	action:	Proceed to Section V.				

# V. CERTIFICATION

By signing below, each juror certifies that consideration of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant or any victim was not involved in reaching his or her individual decision, and that the individual juror would have made the same finding regardless of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant or any victim.

	_	
	-	
	-	
	-	FOREPERSON
DATE:		2006